## **EXHIBIT A**

|    | Page 1                                 |
|----|----------------------------------------|
| 1  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT           |
| 2  | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS          |
| 3  | EASTERN DIVISION                       |
| 4  |                                        |
| 5  | CYNTHIA RUSSO, LISA BULLARD,           |
| 6  | RICARDO GONZALES, INTERNATIONAL        |
| 7  | BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL              |
| 8  | WORKERS LOCAL 38 HEALTH AND            |
| 9  | WELFARE FUND, INTERNATIONAL UNION OF   |
| 10 | OPERATING ENGINEERS LOCAL 295-295C     |
| 11 | WELFARE FUND, AND STEAMFITTERS FUND    |
| 12 | LOCAL 439, on Behalf of Themselves and |
| 13 | All Others Similarly Situated,         |
| 14 | Plaintiffs,                            |
| 15 | vs. Case No. 17-CV-2246                |
| 16 | WALGREEN CO,                           |
| 17 | Defendant.                             |
| 18 |                                        |
|    | ***CONFIDENTIAL***                     |
| 19 | VIDEO DEPOSITION OF                    |
| 20 | DR. KENNETH SCHAFERMEYER               |
| 21 | Taken on behalf of the Defendant       |
| 22 | January 13, 2023                       |
| 23 |                                        |
| 24 |                                        |
| 25 |                                        |
|    |                                        |

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Page 150

not sure it's responsive to your question. Ask me your question again.

- Q. Do you have any basis for saying that Walgreens and Express Scripts were legally prohibited from agreeing to the language that they put into section 1.26?
- So my basis for this is that there is an agreement between the payer and the PBM, in this case Express Scripts, and there's also an industry definition of usual and customary which was in effect before Walgreens contrived this scam. the fact that Walgreens convinced Express Scripts to look the other way and they both benefit financially from it, the question is does that relieve them of their duty to provide the true usual and customary. I see they have this agreement, but this agreement doesn't meet the reasonable expectations of the payer who contracted with Express Scripts and the payer expects to receive the true usual and customary in an accurate and truthful claim. The fact that Walgreens and Express Scripts get together and make a change doesn't change the first contract between the payer and Express Scripts, that contract's still in effect. And so the reasonable expectations of the

Page 151 payer is they would receive accurate claims in the 1 2. true usual and customary. 3 Have you talked to any of the payers Ο. who contracted with Express Scripts in this case to 4 determine what they expected Express Scripts to 5 6 charge them for prescriptions? 7 MR. DWOSKIN: Form. Well, I read the complaint and I 8 Α. 9 think the complaint lays out what their 10 expectations were and I understand with a, what 11 they would reasonably expect. 12 Ο. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Did you -- let me 13 ask again. 14 Have you talked to any of the payers 15 who contracted with Express Scripts in this case to 16 determine what they expected Express Scripts to 17 charge them for prescriptions covered by their 18 plans? 19 MR. DWOSKIN: Form. 20 Α. I didn't talk with them because 21 there's other information available and I already 2.2 had an understanding what that expectation should 2.3 be. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Besides the 2.4 Q. 25 complaint that was drafted by the lawyers for the

Page 191 while. 1 2. All right. Let's see the context. 3 And I should have commented about the context in my report where I quoted the book in Navarro's chapter 4 too, because the context was an important point. 5 6 133 you said? 7 0. (BY MR. ROBINSON) I didn't say it but somebody on the line said it. 8 9 MR. DWOSKIN: Yeah, that's the 10 footnote number. Just trying to move it along. 11 Let's see what the record says. 12 Α. Okay. I see that. 13 Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) So you quoted, this is on page 42 of your report, you cite to the 14 15 article by Dr. Mattingly and state a U.S. 16 Pharmacist article quoting the GAO definition 17 indicates that quote, the U&C rate is often 18 referred to as the cash price for patients, and 19 then you cited to the Mattingly article, correct? 20 That's what it says. Α. 21 Okay. And then if we look at the Ο. Mattingly article, the article is called 2.2 23 Understanding Drug Pricing. See that? I see that. 2.4 Α. 25 Q. Okay. Do you know Professor

Page 192 Mattingly? 1 2. MR. DWOSKIN: Form. 3 University of Maryland? Α. No. No, I wouldn't say I know him personally. 4 (BY MR. ROBINSON) Do you know Dr. 5 Ο. 6 Mattingly by reputation? 7 MR. DWOSKIN: Form. I really don't recall. 8 Α. 9 0. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Okay. And on the 10 second page of his article, see there's a table? 11 Α. Uh-huh. 12 That's entitled Common Terms and Ο. 13 Acronyms Used In Drug Pricing? 14 Α. Uh-huh. 15 Ο. And then for usual and customary price it says that the definition is the average 16 17 cash price paid at a retail pharmacy. 18 Is that right? 19 Α. That's what it says but that's not 20 the portion I was quoting, and he also says later 21 on that, my point was to point out these are cash 2.2 prices. I'm not agreeing that it's average. You 23 put this in context to the article and this is 2.4 where Navarro fit in too is he was saying that 25 usual and customary is based on cash prices.

2.

2.2

2.5

Page 193

other words, prices not paid by patients using insurance benefit and that illustrates my point.

I'm not agreeing with this statement about average cash price, I'm just saying look, he understands the cash price, and so did the GAO, right?

Q. So he was, Dr. Mattingly was authoritative enough for you to quote as supporting your opinion but you would agree with me that he provides a different definition of usual and customary in 2012 than either the definition that you provided in the book chapter in 2009 or the definition in your expert report that you produced in this case.

MR. DWOSKIN: Form.

A. Okay. So I think you're misrepresenting my report. If you look at paragraph 141 (b) I talked about the GAO definition and this whole discussion has to do with the fact that PSC customers are cash customers and I'm illustrating that point. GAO defined usual and customary as the price that a person without insurance would pay. This author then refers to that GAO definition, right, and he agrees that it's the cash price. That's my point. I am not endorsing his definition that it's average price or

2.

2.2

Page 194

saying that he's even expert in this. I'm just say that he quoted GAO and agreed with the cash price and that was my point.

Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Look, I understand how you used Dr. Mattingly's article to support what you were saying about the GAO report, but isn't it true that Dr. Mattingly in his article which you thought was authoritative to cite for the quote you wanted, he says that the usual and customary price means the average cash price paid at a retail pharmacy, that's what he says.

MR. DWOSKIN: Form.

A. Well, again, from a historical perspective, I'm not sure how aware he was of what was going on in 2011, but historically average cash price was the cash price because there was only one cash price and so before 2007 for example or 2006 that statement would have been entirely correct. I'm not sure that he was aware at that time when he wrote this that things, that people were trying to manipulate definitions. Maybe he would have been more careful, but at one point the average price was the price because there weren't multiple different prices, not until we started playing games with usual and customary.

Page 256

## REPORTER CERTIFICATE

2. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

I, SUZANNE BENOIST, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that there came before me via Zoom, the above-referenced parties, that the proceeding was translated and proofread using computer-aided transcription, and the above transcript of proceedings is a true and accurate transcript of my notes as taken at the time of said event.

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for nor related nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this examination is taken; further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in this action.

Dated this 25th day of January, 2023.

19

20

21

2.2

23 Notary Public No. 07541281

24

State of Missouri - Jefferson County

25

My commission expires: 5/10/2024

Ms. Suzanne Benoist, RPR,

CCR-MO, CCR-KS, CSR-IL, CSR-IA